RlmN and Cfr are Radical SAM Enzymes Involved in Methylation of Ribosomal RNA
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Abstract: Posttranscriptional modifications of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) nucleotides are a common mechanism of modulating the ribosome’s function and conferring bacterial resistance to ribosome-targeting antibiotics. One such modification is methylation of an adenosine nucleotide within the peptidyl transferase center of the ribosome mediated by the endogenous methyltransferase RlmN and its evolutionarily related resistance enzyme Cfr. These methyltransferases catalyze methyl transfer to aromatic carbon atoms of the adenosine within a complex 23S rRNA substrate to form the 2,8-dimethylated product. RlmN and Cfr are members of the Radical SAM superfamily, and it expands the catalytic repertoire of this diverse enzyme class. Furthermore, by providing information on both the timing of methylation and its substrate requirements, our findings have important implications for the functional consequences of Cfr-mediated modification of rRNA in the acquisition of antibiotic resistance.

Introduction

The bacterial ribosome, composed of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and ribosomal proteins, is a target of many clinically useful antibiotics.1,2 The rRNA is the key functional and structural component of the ribosome. Most of the protein synthesis inhibitors that interfere with the functions of the ribosome interact with rRNA and establish immediate contacts with nucleotides. One of the main mechanisms that renders bacterial pathogens resistant to ribosome-targeting drugs is the acquisition of rRNA methyltransferases which modify specific nucleotide residues located in antibiotic binding sites. The recently described Cfr methyltransferase belongs to this class of enzymes.3,4 Evidence obtained in vivo studies indicates that Cfr methylates the C8 atom of A2503 of 23S rRNA (E. coli numbering), located in the peptidyl transferase center of the bacterial large ribosomal subunit.5 Such modification renders cells resistant to several important classes of ribosomal antibiotics that act upon the peptidyl transferase center, including phenicols, pleuromutilins, streptogramins A, lincosamides, and the recently developed oxazolidinones.3,4,6,7 The recent discovery of the cfr gene in a hospital isolate of Staphylococcus aureus, where it is present on mobile genetic elements,8 raises the possibility of its rapid spread among human pathogens. The spread of cfr-based resistance may compromise several key antibiotics, including the oxazolidinone linezolid, which is often used as the last line of defense against multidrug-resistant bacterial infections.9,10 The drug resistant methyltransferase Cfr is a close homologue of a methyltransferase RlmN.11 RlmN is an endogenous cellular enzyme which modifies the same
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nucleotide (A2503), but at the C2 atom of the adenine base. Such ‘native’ posttranscriptional modifications of RNA residues are presumed to play an important role in modulating and optimizing ribosomal function, although the precise roles of most modifications, including the RlmN-mediated C2 methylation of A2503, remain largely obscure.\(^\text{11,12}\)

Nothing is known about the substrate requirement of these two enzymes. Yet knowledge of the substrate at which these enzymes act may have a critical impact on understanding the functions which are thought to be used by these methyltransferases. Besides the important biological functions of the two enzymes, the enzymatic mechanisms which are thought to be used by these methyltransferases may guide the antibiotic treatment regimens.

RlmN and Cfr belong to the Radical SAM superfamily, which is characterized by the presence of the cysteine-rich CX\(_2\)-CX\(_2\)-C\(_2\) motif.\(^\text{15–18}\) Enzymes belonging to the Radical SAM superfamily use this motif to ligate a unique four iron–four sulfur cluster (4Fe–4S), which, upon reduction to the +1 oxidation state, donates one electron to the bound SAM, leading to the formation of a 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical (5′-dA\(_2\)) and methane (Scheme 1). The resulting 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical is a potent oxidant which then initiates a radical transformation by performing hydrogen atom abstraction from its substrate. Subsequent catalytic steps, specific to the given Radical SAM enzyme, result in a variety of outcomes such as amine shifts (lysine aminomutases),\(^\text{19–23}\) nucleotide reduction (ribonucleoside triphosphate reductase III),\(^\text{24–26}\) sulfur insertion (biotin synthase, lipoyl synthase),\(^\text{27–32}\) oxidative decarboxylation (coproporphyrinogen oxidase),\(^\text{33–35}\) methylation,\(^\text{36–39}\) and complex rearrangements.

**Scheme 1.** Formation of a 5′-Deoxyadenosyl Radical via a Reductive Cleavage of S-Adenosyl-l-methionine (SAM)
rangelments,\textsuperscript{40} among others. Overall, members of this superfamily participate in more than 40 distinct biochemical transformations,\textsuperscript{16} and the full catalogue of functions carried out by these enzymes is yet to be determined.

Despite the importance of RlmN in modulating protein synthesis and Cfr in the generation of multiantibiotic resistant phenotypes, no biochemical studies on the \textit{in vitro} activity of Radical SAM methyltransferases have been reported to date. Purification of these enzymes in their active forms and elucidation of their catalytic mechanism will provide critical insight into the functional principles of Radical SAM methyltransferases and may pave the way for combating Cfr-based antibiotic resistance. Understanding the substrate requirements of RlmN and Cfr may further lead to detailed structural analyses of methyltransferase-RNA recognition features.

Herein, we describe the \textit{in vitro} reconstitution of the methyltransferase activity of RlmN and Cfr and propose a mechanism for the highly chemically challenging C\texttextendash}H to C\texttextendash}C bond conversion. To our knowledge, this study is the first \textit{in vitro} description of a methyl transfer reaction to a carbon center catalyzed by a Radical SAM enzyme and adds a new function to the catalytic repertoire of these enzymes.

\section*{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{General.} All anaerobic experiments were performed in the glovebox (MBraun, Stratham, NH) under an atmosphere consisting of 99.997\% N\textsubscript{2} with less than 2 ppm O\textsubscript{2}. All chemicals were analytical grade or the highest quality commercially available and were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. S\texttextendash}Adenosyl-L\texttextendash}[methyl\textsuperscript{3H}]methionine and [2,8\texttextendash}3H\texttextendash]ATP were purchased from Perkin-Elmer (Waltham, MA). Culture medium ingredients were purchased from Difco (Detroit, MI). DNA minipreps were performed using the Wizard DNA purification kit from Promega (Madison, WI). All electrophoresis materials were purchased from Bio-Rad Lab (Hercules, CA). Enzymes used in plasmid construction and characterization were from Fermentas (Glen Burnie, MD).

\textbf{Construction of the Expression Plasmids for RlmN and Cfr.} The \textit{rlmN} gene was PCR-amplified from genomic DNA of the \textit{E. coli} strain K12 using primers RlmN Cloning 1 and RlmN Cloning 2 (Table S1, Supporting Information), which included the NdeI and XhoI restriction sites. The PCR product was purified, digested with NdeI and XhoI, and cloned into the corresponding sites of the vector pET-21a (Novagen, Madison, WI). The RlmN protein encoded in the resulting construct, pET21a-\textit{rlmN}, is N-terminally His6-tagged.

The \textit{cfr} gene was PCR-amplified from the previously constructed plasmid pMS2,\textsuperscript{7} using primers Cfr Cloning 1 and Cfr Cloning 2 (Table S1) which carried the Bpu1102I and NdeI sites. The PCR product was initially cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega). Once the \textit{cfr} positive clone was identified and the cloned gene sequenced, \textit{cfr} was excised using NdeI and Bpu1102I restriction enzymes and cloned into the corresponding sites of the pET-15b vector (Novagen). A spontaneous mutation in the \textit{cfr} stop codon that was incidentally generated during the cloning procedure was corrected by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The Cfr protein expressed from the resulting construct, pET15b-\textit{cfr}, is N-terminally His\textsubscript{6}-tagged.

\textbf{Expression, Purification, and Reconstitution of RlmN and Cfr.} A 5 mL culture of \textit{E. coli} BL21 (DE3)/pET21a-\textit{rlmN} or pET15b-\textit{cfr} was grown overnight at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing ampicillin (100 \mu g/mL) and was used to inoculate 2 L of the same medium. When OD\textsubscript{600} reached 0.4\texttextendash}0.6, the incubation temperature was lowered to 18 °C and isopropyl\texttextendash}\beta\texttextendash}D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM to induce gene expression. FeCl\textsubscript{3} was also added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM to provide a sufficient amount of iron for iron\textendash}sulfur cluster assembly. After incubation for an additional 24 h at 18 °C, cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 \times g, 10 min) at 4 °C and stored at \texttextendash}80 °C. The typical yield was 5 g of wet cells per liter of culture. Subsequent purification was carried out at 4 °C, and all buffers were degassed and saturated with nitrogen before use in an anaerobic glovebox.

Thawed cell pellets (∼10 g) were resuspended in 50 mL of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris\texttextendash}HCl, pH 8.0, 10\% glycerol, 300 mM NaCl) and subjected to 10 \times 30 s ultrasonic bursts (50% cycle, power level 5), with a 1 min cooling interval between each blast. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (30 000 \times g, 30 min), and the supernatant was mixed by slow agitation with 10 mL of Ni\texttextendash}NTA resin (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) for 1 h at 4 °C. The slurry was poured into a column and subsequently washed with lysis buffer supplemented with 20 mM and then 40 mM imidazole (50 mL each). The brownish protein was eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris\texttextendash}HCl, 10\% glycerol, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). The brownish fractions that contained the desired proteins, as indicated by SDS\texttextendash}PAGE, were separately pooled (∼20 mL). The [4Fe\texttextendash}4S] cluster was further reconstituted by treating the fractions with 350 \mu M DTT, 140 \mu M cysteine, and 150 \mu M Na\textsubscript{2}S. While the mixture was gently stirred, FeCl\textsubscript{3} was slowly added to a final concentration of 450 \mu M. The stirring was continued for another 2 h at room temperature, and the proteins were subsequently concentrated in an Amicon concentrator with YM-10 membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA) to less than 1 mL prior to loading on a 30 mL P6 desalting column (Bio-Rad). The reconstituted proteins were eluted from the column using 50 mM Tris\texttextendash}HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 50 mM NaCl and 10\% glycerol. The collected proteins were further purified by FPLC on a 5 mL Hi\texttextendash}Trap Q HP column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) using buffer A (50 mM Tris\texttextendash}HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl) and buffer B (50 mM Tris\texttextendash}HCl, pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl). The FPLC buffers for Cfr purification also contained 10\% glycerol. The column was run with 100\% buffer A for 5 mL, followed by a linear gradient of 0 to 40\% B in 20 mL. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min, and the detector was set to 280 and 410 nm. The eluted proteins were diluted with FPLC buffer A and concentrated in an Amicon concentrator prior to storage at ∼80 °C. The concentrations of the as\textendash}purified proteins were determined by the method of Bradford using bovine serum albumin as the standard.\textsuperscript{41} The relative molecular weight and purity of enzyme samples were determined using SDS\texttextendash}PAGE as described by Laemmli.\textsuperscript{42} The average protein yield was ∼1.2 mg/L for RlmN and ∼0.3 mg/L for Cfr.

\textbf{Preparation of RlmN and Cfr Mutants.} Mutagenesis of conserved cysteine residues in the C\texttextendash}S\texttextendash}C\texttextendash}X\texttextendash}X\texttextendash}C\texttextendash}X motif of RlmN and Cfr to alanines was carried out using the QuikChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). The oligonucleotides used for mutagenesis were purchased from Bioneer Inc. (Alameda, CA) (Table S2). The constructed mutant plasmids were amplified in \textit{E. coli} TOP10 strain and purified with the Qiagen Spin Miniprep Kit.

(Qiagen) and subsequently verified by DNA sequencing (Elim Biopharmaceuticals, Hayward, CA). The mutant plasmids were then used to transform E. coli BL21(DE3) cells (Novagen) which were used for protein expression. The RlmN/Cfr mutants were expressed, purified, and subjected to iron-sulfur cluster reconstitution in the same manner as that for the wild type enzymes, except that the final FPLC purification step was omitted due to the small quantities of proteins obtained.

**Iron Content Determination.** For iron content analysis, 1 mL of RlmN or Cfr sample was mixed with 500 µL of reagent A (1:1 of 4.5% KMnO4/1.2 N HCl) and incubated at 60 °C for 2 h. The sample was cooled, and 100 µL of reagent B (8.8 g of ascorbic acid, 9.7 g of ammonium acetate, 80 mg of ferrozine, 80 mg of neocuprine, and H2O to 25 mL total volume) were added followed by vigorous vortexing. The absorption at 562 nm was recorded after 1 h of incubation at room temperature. The iron content was finally determined by comparing the reading to a standard curve.

**Preparation of Truncated rRNA Substrates.** 23S rRNA fragments that encompassed A2503 were generated by *in vitro* transcription using PCR products as templates. All forward PCR primers included the T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence corresponding to specific segments of 23S rRNA. The primers used for PCR amplifications are listed in Table S3. Segments of the 23S rRNA gene were amplified using the plasmid pKK3535 as a template. PCR products were cleaned using the SV Wizard Kit (Promega) and subsequently used for transcription using PCR products as templates. All forward PCR primers were annealed using 400 pmol each of primers 2496 Short and 2507 Short in 40 µL of water. The mixture of the two primers was incubated at 100 °C for 1 min and then allowed to cool down to room temperature over 20 min.

For the *in vitro* transcription, 10 µg of DNA template were placed in 100 µL of a solution containing buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 22 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM spermidine), 2.5 mM each rNTPs, 20 mM DTT, 0.2 U/µL RiboLock RNase inhibitor (Fermentas), and T7 RNA polymerase. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 3 h, until the reactions turned cloudy. 2 U/µg (20 µL) of RQ1 DNase (Promega) were added, and incubation at 37 °C continued for 30 min. 102 µL of water were added to each reaction, followed by 22.6 µL of Stop Solution (5 M ammonium acetate, 100 mM EDTA). Once the solutions turned clear, 1 volume of isopropanol (for truncations longer than 86 nucleotides) or 2.5 volumes of ethanol (for truncations shorter than 86 nucleotides) were added, and the reactions were incubated for 30 min or at −80 °C overnight. Samples were spun at 4 °C for 20 min at 21 000 × g. Pellets were resuspended in 200 µL of 0.3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.5 and extracted with phenol, phenol/chloroform, and chloroform. RNA was precipitated with 3 volumes of ethanol overnight at −80 °C. The samples were pelleted, washed with 70% ethanol, resuspended in water, and stored at −80 °C.

**Chemical Synthesis of Methyladenosine Standards.** The overall strategy for preparing the methyladenosines 1–3 is depicted in Scheme 2. The synthesis of 8-methyladenosine (1) and 2-methyladenosine (2) followed previously published protocols. 2,8-Dimethyladenosine (3) was prepared starting with 2′,3′,5′-tri-O-acetyl-8-bromo-2-iodoadenosine (10), obtained by a known protocol. The mixture was heated at 120 °C, and then the formation of 2′,3′,5′-tri-O-acetyl-2,8-dimethyladenosine (11) was continuously monitored by TLC (CH2Cl2:MeOH, 98:2). After 12 h, the mixture was cooled and the product, 11, was extracted with EtOAc and purified by silica gel column chromatography. The acetyl protecting groups were removed by treatment with K2CO3 in MeOH, and the final product, 3, was obtained in 65% yield after purification on a silica gel column. 2,8-Dimethyladenosine (3): 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.21 (2H, s, NH2), 6.39 (1H, m, OH), 5.77 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-1′), 5.41 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, OH), 5.27 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, OH), 4.81 (1H, m, H-2′), 4.15 (1H, m, H-3′), 4.06 (1H, br, s, H-4′), 3.74 (1H, m, H-5′), 3.60 (1H, m, H-5′), 2.54 (3H, s, CH3). ESI MS m/z 296 (M + H)+.
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Activity Assays for RlmN and Cfr. A typical activity assay for RlmN/Cfr contained 10 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM sodium dithionite (SDT), 1.5 mM S-adenosyl-l-[methyl-³H]methionine (1.0 M RlmN or 2.0 µM Cfr), and 0.2 µM (10 pmol) of purified rRNA in 50 µL of Tris-HCl buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0). All the reaction components were made anaerobic by bubbling or purging with argon prior to mixing in an MBraun glovebox. The reaction was initiated by addition of [³H-methyl]-SAM, and after 30 min of incubation at 37 °C, the reaction mixture was transferred onto a 23 mm Whatman DE81 filter paper disk (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The paper discs were thoroughly washed and transferred onto a 23 mm Whatman DE81 filter paper disk (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The paper discs were thoroughly washed and placed inside scintillation vials. Scintillation fluid was added, and counts were taken in a Beckman-Coulter LS6500 multipurpose scintillation counter (Fullerton, CA).

HPLC Separation and Identification of Methylated Adenines. The methylated rRNA from RlmN/Cfr assay mixtures was purified using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. Subsequently, the purified rRNA was enzymatically digested to mononucleosides using nuclease P1, snake venom phosphodiesterase, and alkaline phosphatase. The digested samples were loaded onto a Luna analytical C18 column (10 µm, 4.6 mm × 250 mm) (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA), in a solvent system consisting of 40 mM ammonium acetate, pH 6.0 (A) and 40% aqueous acetonitrile (B). The nucleosides were eluted at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with a step gradient of 0% B (0–2 min), 0–25% B (2–27 min), and 25–60% B (27–37 min), and the retention times of enzymatic products were compared to those of synthetic standards. The mononucleosides and the synthetic methyladenosines were detected by their UV absorption at 256 nm, while the ³H-labeled methylated adenines were detected by a Packard radiomatic 515TR flow scintillation analyzer (Perkin-Elmer).

HPLC Detection of Methionine Formation. The RlmN/Cfr assay mixtures were treated with OPA reagent (5 mM ortho-phthalaldehyde, 2% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.3% sodium borate, pH 10.5). The derivatized samples were analyzed on a Phenomenex Luna analytical C18 column (10 µm, 4.6 mm × 250 mm) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min using a linear gradient of 30% to 80% methanol in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 5.1, over 40 min. An OPA derivative of commercial methionine was detected by monitoring the absorption at 338 nm, while the ³H-labeled methionine produced in reaction mixtures was detected by a Packard radiomatic 515TR flow scintillation analyzer.

Enzymatic Synthesis of [2,8-³H-Adenosyl]-SAM. The E. coli strain DM22(pK8) that overproduces SAM synthetase was a generous gift from Dr. G. Douglas Markham, Fox Chase Cancer Center (Philadelphia, PA). SAM synthetase was prepared in the strain DM22(pK8) using the protocol of Materials and Methods, respectively. The protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford, and the iron content by spectrophotometry. The E. coli pMS2,7 cloned into a pET-15b expression vector, and used to transform E. coli BL21(DE3) cells. Protein expression from both constructs was induced as described in Materials and Methods, and both proteins were purified under anaerobic conditions by nickel affinity chromatography, resulting in the isolation of brownish proteins. For each of the proteins, the as-isolated protein fractions were treated with DTT (350 µM), cysteine (140 µM), Na₂S (150 µM), and FeCl₃ (450 µM) to allow for reconstitution of the iron–sulfur cluster. The reconstituted proteins were further purified by ion-exchange chromatography under anaerobic conditions, resulting in homogeneous dark brown proteins. The purified and reconstituted proteins migrated at approximately 43 kDa (RlmN) and 37 kDa (Cfr) on an SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 1A), in good agreement with the calculated molecular weight of the polypeptides (43.1 and 39.9 kDa, respectively). The protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford, and the iron content by spectrophotometry after treatment with ferrozine. The UV/vis spectra, with absorbance maxima at approximately 410 nm, tailing to longer wavelengths, are consistent with the presence of an iron–sulfur cluster (Figure 1B, C). These spectral characteristics are in agreement with the iron content determined for both proteins after reconstitution (RlmN: 3.98 ± 0.16; Cfr: 6.79 ± 0.42 mols of iron per mole of protein), sufficient to support formation of a [4Fe–4S] cluster.

In Vitro Activity and rRNA Substrates of RlmN and Cfr.

To determine whether RlmN and Cfr display methyltransferase activity \textit{in vitro}, a series of rRNAs were incubated with each of the methyltransferases. In a typical assay, the enzyme was incubated under anaerobic conditions with purified rRNA (Supporting Information) in the presence of sodium dithionite as a source of the electron required for the reduction of the $[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]$ cluster to the $+1$ oxidation state and $S\text{-adenosyl-L-}$[methyl-$^3\text{H}$]methionine ($[3\text{H}$-methyl$]$-SAM) as a methyl donor. The intact 70S \textit{E. coli} ribosome, the large (50S) and small (30S) ribosomal subunits, and the rRNA components of both of these subunits, 23S rRNA (with 5S rRNA) and 16S rRNA, were tested as candidate substrates for RlmN and Cfr. These candidate substrates were prepared either from the wild type (WT) - \textit{E. coli} or from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain. In the wild type 23S rRNA, A2503 is supposed to be C2 methylated by the action of endogenous RlmN, whereas A2503 remains unmodified in 23S rRNA from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain. Following the incubation with the methyltransferase and $[3\text{H}$-methyl$]$-SAM, rRNA was recovered from the reaction and analyzed for the presence of tritium-derived radioactivity. These experiments established 23S rRNA from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain as the only substrate for RlmN, while both the wild type and \textit{rlmN} knockout 23S rRNA were substrates for Cfr (Figure 2A, B). These candidate substrates were prepared either from the wild type (WT) - \textit{E. coli} or from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain. In the wild type 23S rRNA, A2503 is supposed to be C2 methylated by the action of endogenous RlmN, whereas A2503 remains unmodified in 23S rRNA from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain. Following the incubation with the methyltransferase and $[3\text{H}$-methyl$]$-SAM, rRNA was recovered from the reaction and analyzed for the presence of tritium-derived radioactivity. These experiments established 23S rRNA from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain as the only substrate for RlmN, while both the wild type and \textit{rlmN} knockout 23S rRNA were substrates for Cfr (Figure 2A, B). Our findings are in agreement with previous \textit{in vivo} studies that pointed to 23S rRNA as the target of these enzymes.\cite{4, 5, 11} Only protein-free rRNA, but not large ribosomal subunits or 70S ribosomes, could serve as RlmN or Cfr substrates (Figure 2A, B). The inability of Cfr or RlmN to modify these latter substrates is likely a consequence of steric hindrance precluding the access of the enzymes to A2503 in the context of the mature 50S subunit. To better establish the specificity of both enzymes, we prepared rRNA with an A2503 to G mutation (Supporting Information). Neither Cfr nor RlmN could catalyze transfer of the label from $[3\text{H}$-methyl$]$-SAM to this mutant rRNA (Figure 2, inserts), indicating that the activity of both methyltransferases is targeted toward A2503.

Establishing the Nature of Adenosine Modification by RlmN and Cfr.

To establish the exact pattern of modification of the adenosine target of the Radical SAM methyltransferases, the \textit{in vitro} methylated 23S rRNA was purified and enzymatically digested to single nucleosides. The resulting hydrolysate...
was analyzed by HPLC, and the retention time of the radiolabeled product was compared with synthetic standards of predicted methylated products, 2-methyladenosine (RlmN), 8-methyladenosine, and 2,8-dimethyladenosine (Cfr). The incubation of 23S rRNA isolated from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain with RlmN and the subsequent enzymatic digestion to nucleosides resulted in the formation of a radioactive product that coeluted with 2-methyladenosine (Figure 4A). As mentioned earlier, no modification of the wild type 23S rRNA with this enzyme was observed (Figure 4B). When Cfr-treated rRNA from the \textit{rlmN} knockout strain was isolated and digested in the same manner, the appearance of two new products was noted:
8-methyladenosine and 2,8-dimethyladenosine (Figure 4C). Incubation of the same methyltransferase with the wild type 23S rRNA, already modified at the C2 position by the endogenous RlmN, provided 2,8-dimethyladenosine as the sole radiolabeled product (Figure 4D). Our in vitro analysis is in agreement with the observed regioselectivity of methylation noted in vivo studies and shows that the purified enzymes retain their native activities.5,11

**Role of the Cysteine-Rich Motifs in RlmN and Cfr.** The CX_3CX_2C motif, found in both of the methyltransferases, is a characteristic feature of Radical SAM enzymes where it ligates an iron–sulfur cluster. To investigate the importance of this motif in RlmN and Cfr catalysis, we prepared a series of mutants in which cysteines in the CX_3CX_2C motifs were individually replaced with alanines. The C125A, C129A, and C132A mutants of RlmN as well as the C112A, C116A, and C119A mutants of Cfr were overproduced, purified, and reconstituted in the same manner as that for the wild type enzymes. All mutants provided soluble proteins (Figure S1), although a substantial decrease in stability as compared to the wild type enzymes was observed during their purification. None of the mutants were active toward their respective 23S rRNA substrates (Figure 5), strongly implicating a crucial role for the cysteine-rich motif and the associated iron–sulfur cluster in the methyl transfer reactions.

Methionine, 5′-deoxyadenosine (5′-dA), and 5′-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) are SAM-derived byproducts of RlmN and Cfr-catalyzed methyl transfer. Despite the diverse overall outcomes, Radical SAM enzymes utilize a 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical, generated by the reductive cleavage of SAM, to initiate the enzymatic transformations that they catalyze. The formation of this reactive species is accompanied by the formation of methionine. Subsequent 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical-promoted

---

**Figure 4.** HPLC analysis of methylation products of RlmN and Cfr. (A) RlmN + KO total rRNA; (B) RlmN + WT total rRNA; (C) Cfr + KO total rRNA; (D) Cfr + WT total rRNA. (a) ^1H radioactive chromatogram of digested RNA; (b) UV–vis chromatogram of digested RNA at 256 nm; (c) UV–vis chromatogram of synthetic methyladenosine standards at 256 nm. 1. Me^8A; 2. Me^2A; 3. Me^2Me^8A. KO: rRNA isolated from rlmN knockout *E. coli* strain. WT: rRNA isolated from the wild type *E. coli*.

**Figure 5.** Activity of cysteine to alanine mutants of RlmN toward total rRNA from rlmN knockout *E. coli* strain (A), and Cfr mutants toward total rRNA from rlmN knockout (B) and wild type *E. coli* strains (C).
hydrogen atom abstraction from the substrate generates 5′-deoxyadenosine. Therefore, the formation of methionine and 5′-deoxyadenosine is a mechanistic hallmark of the reductive cleavage of SAM. To investigate if RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methyl transfer is accompanied by the reductive cleavage of SAM, we analyzed reaction mixtures for the formation of methionine and 5′-deoxyadenosine. Following the enzymatic methylation of rRNA with [3H-methyl]-SAM, the reaction mixtures were treated with an ortho-phthalaldehyde (OPA) derivatization reagent, and the retention time of the products formed in the reaction mixture were compared with that of OPA-derivatized methionine (Figure 6A). A 3H-labeled peak at 35 min, which coeluted with the OPA-derivatized methionine standard, was detected in the reaction of RlmN with the rlmN-knockout RNA, as well as the reactions of Cfr with both the rlmN-knockout and the wild type RNA substrates. In contrast, only a trace amount of methionine was formed when RlmN was incubated with the wild type RNA substrate, where pre-existing modification of A2503 precludes the methyl transfer. No methionine production or its formation in only trace amounts was noted when the reactions were performed in the absence of sodium dithionite (Figure S2A) or when substrate or enzyme was omitted from the reaction (Figure S2B).

To determine if 5′-deoxyadenosine production accompanies methylation of the rRNA substrate, we prepared [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM, a SAM analogue tritiated at the adenosine portion of the molecule. This compound was prepared via enzymatic synthesis from [2,8-3H]ATP, as described in Material and Methods. Incubation of RlmN with the rlmN-knockout 23S rRNA in the presence of [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM resulted in the formation of 5′-deoxyadenosine (Figure 6B). No formation of this product was noted in the reaction of RlmN with the wild type RNA. However, reaction of both rRNA substrates with Cfr and [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM led to the formation of 5′-deoxyadenosine, the production of which is dependent on the presence of sodium dithionite (Figure S3A). Similarly, the omission of enzyme or substrate from the incubation mixtures led to the formation of only trace amounts or complete abrogation of the production of 5′-deoxyadenosine (Figure S3B). The formation of the trace amounts of methionine and 5′-deoxyadenosine in the control reactions is attributed to reductive cleavage of SAM uncoupled from the product formation. Together, the detection of methionine and 5′-deoxyadenosine support a radical-based mechanism for RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methylations, involving the reductive cleavage of SAM and subsequent hydrogen-atom abstraction from the substrate.

As noted above, transfer of the 3H-labeled methyl group from SAM to RNA clearly established that SAM is the methyl donor in the methylation reactions (Figure 2). These findings suggest formation of 5′-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) as a byproduct of the methyl transfer.53 Having synthesized [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM, we were able to monitor SAH formation. Following incubation of RlmN and Cfr with both the wild type and the knockout RNA, the reaction mixture was OPA-derivatized as described in Materials and Methods, and SAH formation was assessed by coelution of the OPA-derived reaction mixture with the authentic OPA-derivatized SAH standard (Figure 6C). SAH production was detected in the reaction of RlmN with the rlmN-knockout RNA and the reaction of Cfr with both the wild type and the knockout RNA substrates. Small amounts of SAH were noted in the reaction of RlmN with the wild type rRNA and are likely a consequence of SAM hydrolysis uncoupled to the product formation. No SAH formation was noted in any of the negative control reactions (Figure S4). Together, our data indicate that Radical SAM methyltransferases RlmN and Cfr utilize two SAM molecules per each introduced methyl mark: the first one to generate the 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical and initiate catalysis, and the second as the methyl donor.

To confirm that the formation of methionine, 5′-deoxyadenosine, and SAH is coupled to the methylation of the substrate, the formation of these SAM-derived byproducts was monitored over time and correlated to the time-dependent formation of the methylated product (Figure 7). For these studies we chose the RlmN-catalyzed methylation, as this enzyme introduces a single methyl mark. The incubation of the substrate with [3H-methyl]-SAM demonstrated that the product formation correlates well to the release of methionine (Figure 7A), providing strong evidence in support of the reductive cleavage of SAM as a productive pathway-related transformation. Furthermore, the time-dependent correlation between the formation of 5′-deoxyadenosine and SAH in the reaction with [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM (Figure 7B) lends further support for the coupling of the homolytic cleavage of SAM (5′-deoxyadenosyl radical and 5′-deoxyadenosine formation; substrate activation) and substrate methylation (SAH formation). The absence of strict correlation between RNA methylation and formation of SAM-derived byproducts is likely a consequence of the abortive cleavage of SAM, evident in control experiments performed in the absence of the substrate (Figures S2 and S3, Supporting Information).

**Figure 6.** RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methylations are accompanied by reductive cleavage of SAM and S-adenosylhomocysteine formation. (A) Detection of methionine in the reaction of methyltransferases with [3H-methyl]-SAM. (B) Detection of 5′-deoxyadenosine production in the reaction of methyltransferases with [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM. (C) Detection of S-adenosylhomocysteine production in the reaction of methyltransferases with [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM.
Discussion

In this study, we purified and analyzed enzymatic activities of two Radical SAM methyltransferases that operate upon the same nucleotide residue in a 3000 nucleotide-long RNA. RlmN introduces a “native” C2 methylation of A2503 in the bacterial 23S rRNA, whereas Cfr methylates the C8 position and confers antibiotic resistance. Unlike the methylation of other RNA bases via a polar mechanism (for example, enzymatic mono- and dimethylation of A2058 in 23S rRNA that leads to macrolide resistance\(^6\)), the modifications of A2503 are unique as they are carried out on amidine carbons of the substrate. These carbon–carbon bond forming methyl transfer reactions are catalyzed by two methyl transferases, RlmN and Cfr, both members of the Radical SAM enzyme superfamily (Scheme 3).

While members of this family have been shown to catalyze a number of transformations, to date no methyltransferase activity has been demonstrated \textit{in vitro}. By showing that purified and \textit{in vitro} reconstituted RlmN and Cfr catalyze the methylation of 23S RNA and by obtaining experimental evidence consistent with the radical mechanism, we now add C-methyltransferase activity to the inventory of transformations catalyzed by Radical SAM enzymes.

In this study, RlmN and Cfr were cloned and expressed as His-tagged fusions. Both enzymes were purified under anaerobic conditions and their iron–sulfur clusters reconstituted. Both enzymes were capable of methylation of 23S rRNA. The nature of the enzymatic products was established by coelution of these products, digested to single nucleosides, with synthetic standards. These experiments showed that while RlmN catalyzes methylation at position C2 of the substrate, Cfr could catalyze methylation at two distinct positions of the substrate adenine nucleotide (C2 and C8), with the physiologically relevant C8 as the preferred substrate. This observation also indicates that RlmN action is not a prerequisite for Cfr activity and that the two enzymes may even compete for the unmethylated rRNA substrate during ribosomal assembly (see below). Our observation that the C2 methylation is catalyzed by RlmN, while the C8 methylation is performed by Cfr, is in agreement with \textit{in vivo} studies in which the nature of modification was determined by TLC analysis and mass spectrometry.\(^5,11\) In addition, our studies unambiguously established that SAM is a methyl donor for both enzymes, as radioactivity was transferred to the RNA substrate when \[^{[3H}\text{-methyl]}\text{SAM}\] was used in the reaction.

The most intriguing aspect of the RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methylations is the ability of the enzymes to append a methyl group to aromatic carbon centers. Such a reaction requires high activation energy, as the homolytic bond dissociation energy for hydrogen atom abstraction from the C2 carbon of adenosine is approximately 98 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), among the highest known for anaerobic C–H bond cleavage.\(^18,55\) These enzymes catalyze adenosine methylation by using a radical mechanism for substrate activation. The radical chemistry is enabled by the \([4\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\) cluster, the presence of which was established by UV–vis spectroscopy and the iron content determination of the purified and reconstituted proteins. Mutation of any of the conserved cysteines within the characteristic CX\(_3\)CX\(_2\)C abolished methyltransferase activity for both RlmN and Cfr. This is consistent with the \textit{in vivo} observation that mutants of the iron–sulfur cluster-coordinating cysteine residues in Cfr fail to protect bacterial cells from antibiotic action.\(^5\) We observed that the enzymatic methylation requires the presence of sodium dithionite, a common one-electron donor in the reactions catalyzed by Radical SAM enzymes and a surrogate for the physiological reductant, likely necessary for the reduction of


the iron–sulfur cluster to the +1 oxidation state, and initiation of the reaction cycle (Scheme 1).

In addition to the involvement of the [4Fe–4S] cluster, a hallmark of Radical SAM enzymes is the reductive cleavage of the cofactor SAM to generate methionine and a 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical. Substrate activation is achieved by 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical-mediated hydrogen atom abstraction, leading to generation of 5′-deoxyadenosine as one of the reaction products (Scheme 1).16–18 By using [1H-methyl]-SAM and [2,8-3H-adenosyl]-SAM, we demonstrated that RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methyl transfer reactions are accompanied by the production of methionine and 5′-deoxyadenosine, suggesting the role of the 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical in hydrogen atom abstraction. In addition to 5′-deoxyadenosine, RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methylations also generate 5′-adenosine and SAH, suggesting that these methyltransferases consume two molecules of SAM per every methyl group introduced. The evidence that methionine, 5′-deoxyadenosine, and SAH are formed on the productive pathway was obtained by monitoring their time-dependent consumption of two molecules of SAM per each molecule of the methyltransferase is in agreement with the recent structural modeling of Cfr.56 Given their requirement for two distinct roles of SAM in catalysis, as a source of the hydrogen atom abstracting 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical and as a donor of the methyl group, RlmN and Cfr resemble MiaB and RimO, members of the methylthiotransferase subclass of the Radical SAM superfamily.36–39 Further similarity between MiaB and the methyltransferases described in this study is evident in the conserved first catalytic step, where all three enzymes likely use the 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical to abstract a hydrogen atom from an sp2-hybridized carbon center of an adenosine-derivative nucleotide in the substrate rRNA.

We have determined that neither enzyme can act on the assembled 50S subunit or the 70S ribosome, but protein-free 23S rRNA proved to be a good substrate. This observation is fully compatible with the location of A2503 deep in the peptidyltransferase cavity of the mature large ribosomal subunit, where it is poorly accessible to the modification enzymes. Thus, RlmN- and Cfr-catalyzed methylation of A2503 most likely takes place during ribosome assembly.27 Many intermediate assembly steps separate naked 23S rRNA and the mature 50S subunit in the assembly pathway, thus limiting the knowledge of the precise ribosomal assembly step at which the two enzymes may act. However, the observation that the enzymes are not functional with the mature 50S subunit substrate indicates that there is only a narrow time frame during the course of the subunit assembly when A2503 can be modified. This conclusion has important ramifications for the Cfr-mediated mechanism of antibiotic resistance because the extent of modification (and thus, the extent of resistance) may critically depend on the rate of ribosomal assembly. Other factors, such as pretreatment of cells with antibiotics that inhibit ribosome biogenesis or the activity of other modification enzymes that utilize adjacent segments of rRNA in the heavily modified peptidyltransferase center, may influence the window of opportunity for the Cfr and RlmN enzymes to act. The environmental conditions and growth phase of the cell can also have effects on the extent of A2503 modification, since ribosomes need to be actively assembling in order for Cfr and RlmN to methylate the rRNA.

Following this logic, it is also possible that organisms with different rates of ribosomal biogenesis may then be modified at A2503 to different extents. Association of cfr with mobile genetic elements such as plasmids and transposons makes it potentially prone to rapid horizontal transfer between bacterial species.\textsuperscript{58,59} Investigation of the species-specific variation of Cfr-mediated A2503 modification and its correlation with pretreatment with other antibiotics may pave the way for better antibiotic regimens and new approaches for combating antibiotic resistance.

Studies of the RNA substrate of RlmN and Cfr suggest that the 23S rRNA segment required for moderate methylation of A2503 is limited to helix system H90–H92 and the adjacent single-stranded stretch of RNA that includes A2503 (Figure 3). Removal of helices 90–92 from the RNA substrate precluded both RlmN and Cfr from modifying A2503, indicating that this helical structure is the key recognition element for both enzymes. At the late stages of ribosomal assembly, proper folding of this structure may be assisted by an RNA helicase DbpA, which directly interacts with helix 92.\textsuperscript{59,60} Therefore, depending on the sequence of events, DbpA could also influence the extent of A2503 modification by RlmN and/or Cfr. Nevertheless, we did not observe any difference in resistance to florfenicol when Cfr was expressed in wild type or in \textit{dbpA}\textsuperscript{−} cells (LaMarre and Mankin, unpublished) and thus concluded that either Cfr (and probably RlmN) acts upon RNA prior to DbpA action or the 90–92 helical element of 23S rRNA can be recognized by the methyltransferases irrespective of its DbpA-mediated transformation. Knowledge of the minimal RNA substrate of the RlmN and Cfr radical SAM methyltransferases should facilitate subsequent structural and kinetic studies of both enzymes.

The role of the RlmN-catalyzed C2 methylation of A2503 in the process of translation is unknown. Previous work has demonstrated that while growth rates of wild type and \(\Delta rlmN\) cells are comparable, cells lacking the RlmN methyltransferase slowly lose in growth competition with wild type cells.\textsuperscript{11} The \(rlmN\) gene is present in many bacterial species, and its homologues are found in some single-celled eukaryotes and archaea, indicating that the A2503 modification may assist ribosome functions in all three major evolutionary domains. A2503 is located at the junction between the peptidyl transferase center and the nascent peptide exit tunnel and is apparently critical for the ability of the ribosome to sense and respond to specific nascent peptide sequences (Vazquez-Laslop and Mankin, in preparation). Posttranscriptional modification of A2503 may be required to optimize this function. In addition, H92, which is located close to A2503 and is a part of an rRNA element recognized by RlmN, contains the highly conserved A-loop, which makes critical contacts with the A-site tRNA.\textsuperscript{60} It is therefore possible that the A2503 C2 methylation serves as one of the check points of proper ribosome assembly and gives a stamp of approval for the subsequent assembly steps (possibly involving DbpA-mediated refolding of helix 92). The role of posttranscriptional modifications as indicators of correct assembly has been proposed in the case of some modifying enzymes such as KsgA and RluD, highlighting the possibility that the endogenous C2 methylation might play a similar function.\textsuperscript{61,62}

In summary, we have successfully reconstituted two methylation events of an adenosine nucleotide in the complex 23S rRNA substrate. These methyl transfer reactions are catalyzed by the Radical SAM methyltransferases RlmN and Cfr. We demonstrate that these enzymes require an intact \([4Fe-4S]\) cluster for catalysis and that SAM serves as both a source of the \(5′\)-deoxyadenosyl radical and the methyl donor. We further demonstrated the RNA substrate requirements of both enzymes. To our knowledge, this study represents the first \textit{in vitro} description of a methyl transfer catalyzed by a member of the Radical SAM superfamily and adds a new catalytic function to this diverse enzyme class. Our work represents a starting point for future studies into the mechanism of the Radical SAM enzyme-mediated methyl transfer reactions.
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